Manage Canola Inputs

Canola Seeding Rate, Row Spacing, Singulation and Direct Harvest



Seeding Rate and Row Spacing





Canola Row Spacing Advantages/Disadvantages

Narrow Rows

- More uniform plant distribution
- Less plant to plant competition
- Better utilization of moisture, light and nutrients
- Quicker canopy closure
- Improved competition with weeds

Wide Rows

- Better residue clearance
- Less soil disturbance
- More intra plant competition
- Better able to emerge in crusted soil
- Delayed row closure
- Reduced competition with weeds



Research Objective

 Investigate optimum row spacing and seeding rate to determine what combination provides the greatest return/acre in canola production



Research Methods - Small Plot

- CPC 2015-2017
- Row spacing: 6, 12 & 24 inches
- Seeding rate: 3, 6, 9 & 12 PLS/square foot
- RCBD with 4 reps
- Conventional tillage
- InVigor L252, L140P
- Small plot equipment





Canola Yields (2015-2017) Averaged Row Spacing and Plant Population





Canola Seed Costs (12.3/#) and Net Return/acre (0.18#)





Row Spacing/Seeding Rate Trial Summary

- Effect of row width and seeding rate on agronomic traits e.g. days to first flower, maturity, plant height, oil and lodging small differences highly variable
- Optimum combination of row width and seeding rate for yield was 12 inches at 12 plants/square foot.



Canola yield at three row spacings averaged across four seeding rates and four seeding rates averaged across three row spacings at Langdon, 2016.



NDSU Langdon REC

Canola Net Return \$/acre at three row spacings averaged across four seeding rates and four seeding rates averaged across three row spacings at Langdon, 2016.



Conclusions

- Canola in crusted soils in 24 inch row spacing may have improved emergence due to neighboring plants aiding each other in breaking the crust while in non-crusted soils emergence could be reduced from self thinning due to increased plant competition.
- At Langdon, the optimum combination of row spacing and seeding rate for Net Return \$/acre was seeding in a 6 or 12 inch row spacing at a seeding rate of 6 or 9 seeds/ft².
- At Prosper, the optimum combination row spacing and seeding rate for Net Return \$/acre was seeding in a 6 inch row spacing at a seeding rate of 6 or 9 seeds/ft².
- Effects of row spacing and seeding rate on agronomic traits (data not shown) of flowering, maturity, plant height, kernel weight, percent oil and lodging were very small or non-significant and would have little practical value in canola production.

Canola Singulation - Small and Large On-Farm Trials





Canola Singulation - Small and Large On-Farm Trials

Small Plot

Large On-Farm Trial







UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA

Travis Messier Cooperator for Small Plot Singulation Trials

Small-Plot Planter

Seeding Plots @ CPC







Canola Yields from Singulation and Conventional Planting in 2017

Canola #/acre



■ 3 PLS ■ 6 PLS



Canola Yields - Singulation vs Conventional Planting Averaged over 3 & 6 PLS/FT in 2017





Canola Yields - Singulation vs Conventional Planting at 3 PLS/FT in 2017





Canola Yields - Singulation vs Conventional Planting at 6 PLS/FT in 2017





Canola Plant Counts from Singulation and Conventional Planting @ 3 PLS/FT2 in 2017

Plants/FT2





Canola Plant Counts from Singulation and Conventional Planting @ 6 PLS/FT2 in 2017

Plants/FT2



■19-May ■7-Jun ■29-Sep2



On-Farm - Singulation vs. Conventional Seeding - 2017

- Trial location Tony Brateng farm -Roseau
- Conventional canola seeded in 7.5 inch rows @ 5 #/acre
- Singulation canola seeded in 22 inch rows @ 2.7 #/acre





Direct Harvest Canola – Tony Brateng -2017

Direct Harvest Canola

Swathed Canola







UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA

Direct Harvest Canola

Close-up Combine Header

On-Board Oil Monitor



	Analysis					
	Field: Kelly's 80					
	CanolaN16H	Oil	Moisture	Protein		
	Pad Ave	48.6	5.6	22.7		Field Dat
	Bin Ave	48.6	5.6	22.7		East
	A F	50.0	5.0	22.1		Field Map
and the second	Ave 5	50.0	5.2	22.9		. 1
a series of	130	50.1	5.6	22.5		M
	129	49.8	4.8	21.2		Graphs
	128	53.6	5.8	23.6		-
100	127	48.7	4.1	24.3		-0-0
Contraction of the local division of the loc	126	47.6	5.9	23.0		Bin Data
	125	48.1	5.4	24.2		Diributu
	124	53.1	4.8	27.2		
	123	54.8	5.8	27.6		Storage Data
	122	51.2	4.6	21.6		storage bata
	121	46.9	5.5	23.7		4
	120	50.9	6.4	19.7		
	119	46.9	6.3	17.3		a participation of the second
	118	39.6	6.2	40.4	-	Stop
		and the second s		the second s		



Canola Direct Harvest





Swath vs. Direct Harvest - 2012

- Cooperators Brian and Sheldon Rice
- Field operations conducted with commercial scale equipment
- Significant canola pod shatter due to hot windy weather





On-Farm Swathed Canola vs. Direct Harvest in 2012







Large On-Farm Trial Direct Harvest vs Swathing

Each Strip Weighed

Weights & Sub-samples







Combine Harvest of Swaths and Straight Harvest Canola

Windrows

Direct Harvest





Two Factors Conducive for Direct Harvest Canola

Uniform Canola Stand

"Tabled" Canola







Large On-Farm Canola Trial: Swath vs Direct Harvest

- Trial location Hugh Hunt farm - Hallock
- Star 402 planted 4/27/15
- Both treatments
 combine on 8/19/15
- Treatments were swathing and direct harvest





Direct Harvest Canola

Uniform Canola Stand

Note Reel Height





Large On-Farm Swathing vs. Direct Harvest Trial 2013 Direct Harvest/Dessicant Trial

Hugh Hunt Farm- Hallock, MN

		Yield		Oil Yield		Test	
		#/acre	%0il	#/acre	%moisture	Weight	%Dockage
1	Direct Combine	2876	52.8	1519	6.9	49.4	0.7
2	Swath/Combine	3053	52.6	1606	6.1	48	1.9
3	Dessicate*/Direct Combine	2940	52.1	1531	7.4	49.4	0.6
	LSD @ 5% level	NS	0.5	NS	1.3	0.3	0.5
	CV	6	0.5	6	8	0.3	18



UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA

On-Farm Swathed vs. Direct Harvest Data - 2015

- Trial location Hugh Hunt farm - Hallock
- Star 402 planted 4/27/15
- Both treatments combine on 8/19/15
- Treatments were swathing and direct harvest



On-Farm Swathed vs. Direct Harvest Data - 2017

- Trial location Tony Brateng farm -Roseau
- Canola seeded in 7.5 inch rows @ 5 #/acre
- Both treatments
 combine on 9/03/17
- Treatments were swathing and direct harvest



Direct Harvest -Summary

- Canola variety choice is important
- Uniform canola stand, low weed pressure
- Swathing or apply desiccant too early:
 - increase green count
 - decreased yield
 - decreased test weight
- No differences detected between direct harvest with desiccant or direct harvest alone
- Desiccant timing later than swathing timing 70-80% seed color change whole plant



Questions





Contact Information

www.mncanola.org

dave.grafstrom@northlandcollege.edu

- Dave Grafstrom
- Cell: 320-293-8722



Canola Resources

Northern Canola Growers Association http://www.northerncanola.com

 Canola Council of Canada -<u>http://www.canola-council.org</u>

<u>Minnesota Canola Council</u>
 <u>http://mncanola.org</u>





Aerial Photography

- Aerial image taken 8/3/11
- Large on-farm location
- Red color stressed plants
- Cooperator: Steve Helmstetter
- Field harvested 8/11/11



August 3, 2011





Seed Colour Change

To assist in determining proper time of swathing

The seeds are still green, but are firm when rolled between the thumb and forefinger.



Seeds that are not firm will crush when rolled between thumb and forefinger.

Seeds that are firm will not crush when rolled between thumb and forefinger.



The seeds in the pods approximately 1/3 up the plant will look like this.

Note that there are seeds with only a hint of colour (tan, brown) on them. These seeds are considered to be turned.

The seeds in the pods at the bottom of the plant will be mostly turned and look like this.



UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA

The seeds in the pods at this part of the plant will look like this.